VERDICT FORM A

Count A: Breach of Contract

Invoice 279722 (the "Larger September 15 Invoice")

Note: Complete the following paragraph by writing in the name required by your verdict.

On the issue of whether Berkley's breach of the Larger September 15 Invoice caused DRE							
damages, we find in favor of:							
Detendant, Berkley Equity							
(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Berkley Equity Limited)							
Note: Complete the following paragraph(s) only if the above finding of damages is in favor of							
DRE.							
1. On DRE's claim for breach of contract regarding the Larger September 15 Invoice							
against Berkley, we, the undersigned jurors, find DRE's Benefit of the Bargain damages to be:							
(state the amount or, if none, write the word, "none")							
Benefit of the Bargain Damages: \$							
-OR-							
2. On DRE's claim for breach of contract regarding the Larger September 15 Invoice							
against Berkley, we, the undersigned jurors, find DRE's Out of Pocket (Direct) Damages to be:							
(state the amount or, if none, write the word, "none")							
Out of Pocket (Direct) Damages: \$							
Note: If you find that DRE has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DRE has suffered damages in the form of Benefit of the Bargain Damages, you should award DRE an amount in Bullet No. 1 above. In the alternative to finding Benefit of the Bargain Damages: If you find that DRE has							
suffered damages in the form of Out of Pocket (Direct) Damages, you should award DRE an amount in Bullet No. 2 above.							

(Direct) Damages as the law does not allow for recovery of both simultaneously.

However, you should not award both Benefit of the Bargain Damages and Out of Pocket

VERDICT FORM B

Count B: Breach of Contract

Invoice 279723 (the "Smaller September 10 Invoice")

Note: Complete the following paragraph by writing in the name required by your verdict.

On the issue of whether Berkley's breach of the Smaller September 10 Invoice caused DRE
damages, we find in favor of:
(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Berkley Equity Limited)
(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Berkley Equity Limited)
Note: Complete the following paragraph only if the above finding of damages is in favor of DRE.
1. On DRE's claim for breach of contract regarding the Smaller September 10 Invoice
against Berkley, we, the undersigned jurors, find DRE's Benefit of the Bargain damages to be:
(state the amount or, if none, write the word, "none")
Benefit of the Bargain Damages: \$
-OR-
2. On DRE's claim for breach of contract regarding the Smaller September 10 Invoice
against Berkley, we, the undersigned jurors, find DRE's Out of Pocket (Direct) Damages to be:
(state the amount or, if none, write the word, "none")
Out of Pocket (Direct) Damages: \$
Note: If you find that DRE has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DRE has suffered damages in the form of Benefit of the Bargain Damages, you should award DRE an amount in Bullet No. 1 above.
In the alternative to finding Benefit of the Bargain Damages: If you find that DRE has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DRE has suffered damages in the form of Out of Pocket (Direct) Damages, you should award DRE an amount in Bullet No. 2 above.

(Direct) Damages as the law does not allow for recovery of both simultaneously.

However, you should not award both Benefit of the Bargain Damages and Out of Pocket

VERDICT FORM C1

Count C: Fraudulent Inducement – Berkley

Note:	Complete the following paragraph by writing in the name required by your verdict.						
	On DRE's claim for fraudulent inducement against Berkley, we find in favor of:						
	Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Berkley Equity Limited)						
	(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Berkley Equity Limited)						
Note:	Complete the following paragraph only if the above finding is in favor of DRE.						
	We assess the damages of DRE, as set forth in Instruction No. 23, as follows:						
<i>4</i> 1. o	For consequential damages of \$ (state the amount or, if none, write						
me wo	rd, "none")						

Filed 01/27/25 Page 3 of 11

VERDICT FORM C2

Count C: Fraudulent Inducement – Lyons

Note:	Complete the following paragraph by writing in the name required by your verdict.							
	On DRE's claim for fraudulent inducement against Lyons, we find in favor of:							
	(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Anthony Lyons)							
	(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Anthony Lyons)							
Note: Complete the following paragraph only if the above finding is in favor of DR								
	We assess the damages of DRE, as set forth in Instruction No. 24, as follows:							
	For consequential damages of \$ (state the amount or, if none, write							
the wo	ord, "none")							

VERDICT FORM D1

Count D: Fraudulent Misrepresentation – $\mathbf{Berkley}$

Note:	Complete the following paragraph by writing in the name required by your verdict.						
	On DRE's claim for fraudulent misrepresentation against Berkley, we find in favor of:						
	(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) September 1 September 1 September 1 (Defendant Berkley Equity Limited)						
Note:	Complete the following paragraph only if the above finding is in favor of DRE.						
	We assess the damages of DRE, as set forth in Instruction No. 25, as follows:						
	For consequential damages of \$ (state the amount or, if none, write						
the wo	ord, "none")						

VERDICT FORM D2

Count D: Fraudulent Misrepresentation - Lyons

107/2002

VERDICT FORM E1

$Count \ E: \ Negligent \ Misrepresentation - \textbf{Berkley}$

Note: Complete the following paragraph by writing in the name required by your verdict.

	On DRE's claim for negligent misrepresentation against Berkley, we find in favor of:							
	(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Berkley Equity Limited)							
	(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Berkley Equity Limited)							
Note:	Complete the following paragraph only if the above finding is in favor of DRE.							
	We assess the damages of DRE, as set forth in Instruction No. 27, as follows:							
	For consequential damages of \$ (state the amount or, if none, write							
the wo	rd, "none")							

Jan 1/27/2025

VERDICT FORM E2

Count E: Negligent Misrepresentation - Lyons

Note:	Complete the following paragraph by writing in the name required by your verdict.							
	On the claim of DRE for negligent misrepresentation against Lyons, we find in favor of:							
	(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Anthony Lyons)							
	(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Anthony Lyons)							
Note:	ote: Complete the following paragraph only if the above finding is in favor of DRE.							
	We assess the damages of Plaintiff DRE, as set forth in Instruction No. 28, as follows:							
	For consequential damages of \$ (state the amount or, if none, write							
he wo	ord, "none")							

Filed 01/27/25 Page 8 of 11

VERDICT FORM F

Count F: Civil Conspiracy - Berkley and Lyons, jointly

Note: Complete the following paragraph by writing in the name required by your verdict.

On DRE's claim for civil conspiracy against Berkley and Lyons, jointly, we find in favor of:

(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendants Berkley Equity Limited and Anthony Lyons)

Page 9 of 11 /27/2026

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1

On DRE's request for punitive damages against Berkley, we, the undersigned jurors, find in favor of:

(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Berkley Equity Limited)

Document 281 Filed 01/27/25 Page 10 of 11

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2

	On DRE's	request	for punitiv	e damages	against	Lyons,	we, the	e undersigned	jurors,	find
in favo	of:									

(Plaintiff DRE Health Corporation) or (Defendant Anthony Lyons)

/25 Page 11 of 11